

1) Provide an example or state that no such example exists (3 points each).

a) A subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is open and compact.

Example: the empty set.

b) A subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is not bounded and has a supremum.

Example: the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$  is not bounded and has a supremum.

c) An infinite set with no accumulation points.

Example: the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is infinite and has no accumulation points.

d) A monotone sequence that is bounded above and does not converge.

Example: the sequence  $(s_n) = (-1, -2, -3, \dots)$  is monotone, bounded above, and not convergent.

e) A function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with  $D = \{0\}$ , such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$  exists.

No such example exists.

2) Prove or provide a counterexample (3 points each).

a) If sequences  $(s_n + t_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  both converge, then the sequence  $(s_n)$  also converges.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(s_n + t_n)$  converges to  $a$  and  $(t_n)$  converges to  $b$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There exists a  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that when  $n > N_1$ ,  $|s_n + t_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and there exists a  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that when  $n > N_2$ ,  $|t_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ .

Now when  $n > N$ ,  $|s_n - (a - b)| = |s_n + t_n - a + b - t_n| \leq |s_n + t_n - a| + |t_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ . This shows that  $(s_n)$  converges to  $a - b$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* There is another proof that utilizes the theorems about sums and constant multiples of convergent sequences. It is known that sums of convergent sequences converge. Furthermore, if a sequence converges, then that sequence multiplied by the constant  $-1$  also converges (see [1] for these results). Combining these two results, we obtain that the difference of convergent sequences is also convergent. Now, since  $s_n = (s_n + t_n) - t_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(s_n)$  converges.

b) If the sequence  $(s_n)$  diverges, then every subsequence of  $(s_n)$  must also diverge.

Counterexample. Let  $s_n = (-1)^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This sequence diverges but  $(s_{2n})$  is a constant, and hence convergent, subsequence.

c) Let  $(s_n)$  be a convergent sequence and let  $(s_{n_k})$  and  $(s_{m_k})$  be two subsequences of  $(s_n)$ . Then the sequence  $(s_{n_k} s_{m_k})$  also converges.

*Proof.* If  $(s_n)$  converges, the subsequences  $(s_{n_k})$  and  $(s_{m_k})$  must converge, and then  $(s_{n_k} s_{m_k})$  also converges. The text [1] is a good reference for these results.  $\square$

d) Let  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  be Cauchy sequences. Then the sequence  $(s_n t_n)$  is also Cauchy.

*Proof.* If  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, they must converge, and then  $(s_n t_n)$  also converges. Since convergent sequences are Cauchy,  $(s_n t_n)$  is Cauchy. Again, [1] may be consulted for references to these results.  $\square$

e) Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two functions and let  $c$  be an accumulation point of  $D$ . If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (fg)(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x)$  exist, then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$  also exists.

Counterexample. Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = 1/x$  and  $g(x) = x$  respectively. In this case, the function  $(fg)(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(fg)(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$  does not exist.

3) Provide solutions for *exactly two* of the following (10 points each).

a) Prove that the set  $S = \{\sqrt{2} + q : q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy  $x < y$ , there exists a  $s \in S$  so that  $x < s < y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $x < y$ . It follows that  $x - \sqrt{2} < y - \sqrt{2}$ . Since the rationals are dense, there exists a  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x - \sqrt{2} < q < y - \sqrt{2}$ . This implies that  $x < \sqrt{2} + q < y$ . Note that  $\sqrt{2} + q \in S$ . This establishes the density of  $S$ .  $\square$

b) Let  $s_1 = 1$  and let  $s_{n+1} = \sqrt{1 + 2s_n}$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Show that the limit of this sequence exists and then find it.

*Proof.* First we provide a short argument to show that this sequence is bounded above. Observe that  $s_1 = 1 \leq 3$ . Now suppose that  $s_k \leq 3$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $s_{k+1} = \sqrt{1 + 2s_k} \leq \sqrt{1 + 2(3)} = \sqrt{7} \leq 3$ . This induction argument shows that this sequence is bounded above by 3.

Now we will use another induction argument to prove that this is an increasing sequence. Observe that  $s_1 = 1 < s_2 = \sqrt{3}$ . Suppose that  $s_k < s_{k+1}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now  $s_{k+1} = \sqrt{1 + 2s_k} < \sqrt{1 + 2s_{k+1}} = s_{k+2}$ . This establishes that the sequence is increasing.

Every increasing sequence that is bounded above converges; so let  $\lim s_n = s$ . Since this limit exists, we may conclude that  $\lim(s_{n+1}s_{n+1}) = \lim(1 + 2s_n)$ . Now, several limit theorems (see [1] for instance) imply that  $s^2 = 1 + 2s$ , and so,  $s = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ . Since the sequence is increasing, it is bounded below by  $s_1 = 1$ . It now follows that  $s = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ .  $\square$

c) Show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^2/|x| = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and then let  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Observe that  $\frac{x^2}{|x|} = |x|$  if  $x \neq 0$ . So when  $0 < |x - 0| < \delta = \epsilon$ , we have  $\left| \frac{x^2}{|x|} - 0 \right| = |x| < \delta = \epsilon$ . This proves that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^2/|x| = 0$ .  $\square$

d) Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. If the subsequence  $(s_{2n})$  converges to  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and the subsequence  $(s_{2n-1})$  converges to  $s$ , then the sequence  $(s_n)$  also converges to  $s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $(s_{2n})$  converges to  $s$ , there exists a  $N_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  so that when  $n > N_1$ ,  $|s_{2n} - s| < \epsilon$ . Since  $(s_{2n-1})$  converges to  $s$ , there exists a  $N_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  so that when  $n > N_2$ ,  $|s_{2n-1} - s| < \epsilon$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Now when  $n > 2N$ ,  $|s_n - s|$  is either equal to  $|s_{2n'} - s|$  or  $|s_{2n'-1} - s|$ , where  $n' > N$ . In both cases,  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$ . This shows that  $(s_n)$  converges to  $s$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Lay, *Analysis with an introduction to proof*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1986.