

### 5.3.1. Semantic Problems: Names, Predicates, and Models

A. For each of the formal sentences below, decide if that sentence is **true** or **false** in the following model.

$\mathbb{D}$ : {2, 3}

A: 2

B: 3

G: {2}

H: {3}

I: {2, 3}

J: { }

Copyright Brian Beakley 2017

1.  $(GA \wedge HA)$
2.  $((GA \wedge HA) \vee (GB \wedge HB))$
3.  $((GA \vee HA) \rightarrow JA)$
4.  $(JA \rightarrow (GA \vee HA))$
5.  $(GA \rightarrow IA)$
6.  $(GA \leftrightarrow IA)$
7.  $(HA \leftrightarrow JA)$

**B. Translate** the following English argument into the formal language; then decide, for each of the models below, whether that model is a **validity counterexample** for the argument.

**Argument:**

1. Rex isn't a fat cat, but Neko is.

---

∴ Rex isn't fat, but Neko is.

**Translation Key:**

**A:** Rex

**B:** Neko

**G:** \_\_is fat

**H:** \_\_is a cat

**Model A**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**A:** **Rex** **B:** **Neko**

**G:** { **Neko** } **H:** { **Rex** }

**Model B**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**A:** **Rex** **B:** **Neko**

**G:** { **Neko** } **H:** { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**Model C**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**A:** **Rex** **B:** **Neko**

**G:** { **Rex**, **Neko** } **H:** { **Rex** }

**Model D**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**A:** **Rex** **B:** **Neko**

**G:** { **Rex**, **Neko** } **H:** { **Rex**, **Neko** }

**C. Translate** the following English argument into the formal language; then decide, for each of the models below, whether that model is a **validity counterexample** for the argument.

**Argument:**

1. Jack isn't a coffee-drinking gambler.

---

∴ Jack doesn't drink coffee.

**Translation Key:**

**A:** Jack

**G:** \_\_drinks coffee /  
coffee-drinking

**H:** \_\_is a gambler

**Model A**

**D:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**A:** **Jack** **B:** **Elvis**

**G:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**H:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**Model B**

**D:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**A:** **Jack** **b:** **Elvis**

**G:** { } **H:** { **Elvis** }

**Model C**

**D:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**A:** **Jack** **B:** **Elvis**

**G:** { **Elvis** } **H:** { **Elvis** }

**Model D**

**D:** { **Jack, Elvis** }

**A:** **Jack** **B:** **Elvis**

**G:** { **Jack, Elvis** } **H:** { **Elvis** }

**D. Translate** the following English argument into the formal language; then decide, for each of the models below, whether that model is a **validity counterexample** for the argument.

**Argument:**

1. Either Neko is a cat who both eats fish and picks locks, or either Rex or Jack left the refrigerator unlocked.
  2. Jack didn't leave the refrigerator unlocked.
- 
- $\therefore$  Neko is a lock-picking fish-eater.

**Translation Key:**

- A:** Neko  
**B:** Rex  
**C:** Jack  
**G:** \_\_ is a cat  
**H:** \_\_ eats fish  
**I:** \_\_ picks locks  
**J:** \_\_ left the refrigerator unlocked

**Model A**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Neko, Rex, Jack** }

**A: Neko B: Rex C: Jack**  
**G: { Neko, Jack } I: { Rex }**  
**H: { Rex } J: { Neko, Rex }**

**Model B**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Neko, Rex, Jack** }

**A: Neko B: Rex C: Jack**  
**G: { Neko, Jack } I: { Neko, Jack }**  
**H: { Neko, Rex, Jack } J: { }**

**Model C**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Neko, Rex, Jack** }

**A: Neko B: Rex C: Jack**  
**G: { Neko, Jack } I: { Jack }**  
**H: { Neko, Jack } J: { Neko, Rex }**

**Model D**

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Neko, Rex, Jack** }

**A: Neko B: Rex C: Jack**  
**G: { Neko, Jack } I: { Neko, Rex, Jack }**  
**H: { Neko, Jack } J: { Rex }**