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Numerous ethical principles of psychologists (APA, 2002, 2010 Amendments) apply to the assessment process and use of test scores in research and clinical practice. Examples include:

2.01 Boundaries of Competence: (a) Psychologists provide services, teach, and conduct research with populations and in areas only within the boundaries of their competence, based on their education, training, supervised experience, consultation, study, or professional experience;

2.04 Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments: Psychologists’ work is based upon established scientific and professional knowledge of the discipline. (See also Standards 2.01, Boundaries of Competence, and 10.01, Informed Consent to Therapy);

9.01 Bases for Assessments: (a) Psychologists base the opinions contained in their recommendations, reports, and diagnostic or evaluative statements, including forensic testimony, on information and techniques sufficient to substantiate their findings;

9.02 Use of Assessments: (a) Psychologists administer, adapt, score, interpret, or use assessment techniques, interviews, tests, or instruments in a manner and for purposes that are appropriate in light of the research on or evidence of the usefulness and proper application of the techniques, (b) Psychologists use assessment instruments whose validity and reliability have been established for use with members of the population tested. When such validity or reliability has not been established, psychologists describe the strengths and limitations of test results and interpretation; and

9.08 Obsolete Tests and Outdated Test Results: (a) Psychologists do not base their assessment or intervention decisions or recommendations on data or test results that are outdated for the current purpose, (b) Psychologists do not base such decisions or recommendations on tests and measures that are obsolete and not useful for the current purpose, (c) Psychologists retain responsibility for the appropriate application, interpretation, and use of assessment instruments, whether they score and interpret such tests themselves or use automated or other services.

In order to employ psychological tests and procedures in an ethical manner, it is necessary that psychologists understand how tests and test scores are assessed to provide evidence regarding various types of reliability, validity, diagnostic utility, and norms. These measurement principles are critical so that psychologists know which scores have acceptable psychometric evidence supporting the variety of possible inferences professed by test authors, publishers, and trainers. Test scores that lack sufficient evidence of reliability, validity, and utility lead clinicians to make inappropriate and inaccurate inferences about the individual assessed.

Over two decades ago Weiner asserted: Effective clinicians, “(a) know what their tests can do and (b) act accordingly. Knowing what one’s test can do – that is, what psychological functions they describe accurately, what diagnostic conclusions can be inferred from them with what degree of certainty, and what kinds of behavior they can be expected to predict – is the measure of a psychodiagnostician’s competence. Acting accordingly – that is, expressing only opinions that are consonant with the current status of validity data – is the measure of his or her ethicality” (1989, p. 829).

In order to follow Weiner’s sage advice, psychologists must possess fundamental knowledge in psychological measurement including test score reliability, validity, utility, and norms. The importance of these competencies cannot be overstated for ethical assessment and clinical practice (Dawes, 2005; McFall, 1991, 2000). However, in commenting on the results of the follow-up to their 1990 survey (Aiken, West, Secrest, & Reno, 1990), Aiken, West, and Millspa (2008) found there was little change to doctoral training regarding measurement, concluding, “we find it deplorable that a dozen years later, the measurement requirement occupies a median of only 4.5 weeks in the PhD curriculum in psychology” (p. 43). They further lamented the inadequacies of measurement training that resulted in a situation “that most graduates lacked fundamental competency in measurement” (p. 43).

It is within this context that, six years ago, I developed and began presenting a continuing education workshop for professional psychologists entitled Measurement Matters. Presentation of this workshop began with independent school districts and expanded to include state and national school psychology association conferences. Recently, this workshop was selected for presentation at the 2011 Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association. An abbreviated and more advanced version focusing on clinical and applied aspects was presented at the 2012 Conference of the International Test Commission, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. While such a workshop cannot be a substitute for graduate level training in psychological measurement, it may help to address the significant shortcomings highlighted by Aiken et al. (2008) and aid professional psychologists in making better-informed decisions in test selection and interpretation.

This workshop begins with a presentation of the professional ethics that should guide professional practice in psychological assessment along with scientific principles and attitudes promoted by McFall (1991, 2000). Other guiding publications serving as foundations include: Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, NCME, 1999), Adapting Educational and Psychological Tests for Cross-Cultural Assessment (Hambleton, Lord, & Menzie, 2008), International Test Commission Guidelines for Translating and Adapting Tests (ITC, 2010), Guidelines for Test User Qualifications (Turner, DeMers, Fox, & Reed, 2001), and Agreement Among Diagnosticians or Observers: Its Importance and Determination (McMott, 1988).

Below is an outline of the topics and methods for estimating reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility of test scores addressed in the workshop and each is described in terms of its particular importance in test selection and score interpretation and illustrated by at least one published research study to provide exemplars of application.

Measurement Matters: Applying Psychological Measurement Principles in Clinical Assessment

Outline

Introduction

Ethical Principles, Standards, Test Use, and Measurement Principles

Scientific Thinking and Practice

Critical Thinking

Methods for Estimating Reliability

Sources of Measurement Error, Standard Error of Measurement, & Confidence Intervals

Internal Consistency (Item/Scale Homogeneity)

Test – Retest (Stability)

Item Interference Agreement (Interobserver Agreement)

Alternate Forms (Equivalence)

Methods for Estimating Validity

Content Validity or Evidence based on Test Content

Concurrent Validity or Relationships with other Variables

Predictive Validity or Relationships with other Variables

Age/Developmental Changes

Distinct Group Differences

Theory Consistent Intervention Effects

Convergent & Divergent/Discriminant Validity

Multitrait – Multimethod Matrix

Factorial Validity: Explanatory & Confirmatory Incremental Validity

Methods for Estimating Diagnostic Utility

Diagnostic Efficiency/Utility Statistics

Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) Analysis

Cost Analysis

Norms and their Importance

It is hoped that continued offering of this workshop at the international, national, state, and local levels will both aid and facilitate the improvement of professional psychologists’ knowledge of measurement principles so they can more competently read, critique, and interpret information in test technical manuals and professional literature, and become more proficient in recognizing what information is presented, what might be missing, and what requires further examination to provide evidence for the many and varied clinical inferences professed. In consideration of the relationship between these measurement principles and ethical test use, I have amended the title to Measurement Matters: Applying Psychological Measurement Principles for Ethical Clinical Assessment. Ultimately, graduate training must answer the call of Aiken et al. (2008) by increasing and elevating measurement training to a standard that promotes better test use among professional psychologists. It is this competency in measurement that allows psychologists to follow Weiner’s (1989) advice to, “(a) know what their tests can do and (b) act accordingly” (p. 829).
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For more information about Dr. Canivez or his workshops, please email him at glcanivez@eiu.edu or go to his website at http://www. eiu.e3.edu/glcanivez.

Coming to the Instructor’s Corner in January, Dr. Marsha Lovett writes about evaluating the effectiveness of teaching online statistics courses.